Subchannel Allocation for Vehicle–to–Vehicle Broadcast Communications in Mode-3

Luis F. Abanto-Leon

Co-authors: Arie Koppelaar Sonia Heemstra de Groot

Department of Electrical Engineering Eindhoven University of Technology

IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference (IEEE WCNC 2018)



《曰》 《聞》 《臣》 《臣》 三臣

Contents

- 1 Background
- 2 C-V2X Mode-3
- **3** Sidelink Subchannels
- 4 Problem Formulation
- 5 Relaxed Formulation
- 6 Simulations
- 7 Conclusions



Luis F. Abanto-Leon

Eindhoven University of Technology



Figure 1: Connected world

Luis F. Abanto-Leon

Eindhoven University of Technology

TU/e

- 3GPP¹ proposed in Release 14, two novel schemes to support sidelink vehicular communications
 - C-V2X mode-3 (centralized)
 - C-V2X² mode-4 (distributed)

¹The 3rd Generation Partnership Project ²Cellular Vehicle–to–Everything ³Device–to–Device (D2D) communications

Luis F. Abanto-Leon

Eindhoven University of Technology

TU/e

- 3GPP¹ proposed in Release 14, two novel schemes to support sidelink vehicular communications
 - C-V2X mode-3 (centralized)
 - C-V2X² mode-4 (distributed)
- C-V2X modes are based on LTE-D2D³ technology, where similar communication modalities were proposed.

¹The 3rd Generation Partnership Project ²Cellular Vehicle-to-Everything ³Device-to-Device (D2D) communications

Luis F. Abanto-Leon

Eindhoven University of Technology

- 3GPP¹ proposed in Release 14, two novel schemes to support sidelink vehicular communications
 - C-V2X mode-3 (centralized)
 - C-V2X² mode-4 (distributed)
- C-V2X modes are based on LTE-D2D³ technology, where similar communication modalities were proposed.
- However, in LTE-D2D (initially introduced for PS) the most important criterion was to prolong batteries lifespan (at the expense of compromising latency).

 ¹The 3rd Generation Partnership Project
 TU/e

 ²Cellular Vehicle-to-Everything
 3Device-to-Device (D2D) communications

 Luis F. Abanto-Leon
 Eindhoven University of Technology

• To fulfill the low latency and high reliability requirements:

Luis F. Abanto-Leon

Eindhoven University of Technology

4/29

TU/e

- To fulfill the low latency and high reliability requirements:
- Modifications at PHY layer
 - Denser distribution of DMRS⁴

⁴Pilot symbols more closely spaced for channel estimation in high Doppler. ⁵A subchannel is a time-frequency resource chunk. $\Box \rightarrow \langle \Box \rangle \rightarrow \langle \Xi \rangle \rightarrow \langle \Xi \rangle \rightarrow \langle \Xi \rangle$

Luis F. Abanto-Leon

Eindhoven University of Technology

4/29

- To fulfill the low latency and high reliability requirements:
- Modifications at PHY layer
 - Denser distribution of DMRS⁴
- Modifications at MAC layer
 - A novel subchannelization⁵ containing
 - (i) scheduling assignments (SCI)
 - (ii) data (TB)

in the same subframe to minimize latency.

A semi-persistent scheduling was proposed for mode-4. No approach has been specified for mode-3.

⁴Pilot symbols more closely spaced for channel estimation in high Doppler. ⁵A subchannel is a time-frequency resource chunk. $\Box \rightarrow \langle \Box \rangle \land \langle \Xi \rangle \land \langle \Xi \rangle \Rightarrow \langle \Xi \rangle$



Luis F. Abanto-Leon

Eindhoven University of Technology



 Besides uplink and downlink (Uu), vehicles can also communicate via sidelink (PC5), which supports direct communications between vehicles.



Luis F. Abanto-Leon

Eindhoven University of Technology



 Conversely to mainstream communications, in C-V2X mode-3 data traffic from/to vehicles do not traverse the eNodeB.



Luis F. Abanto-Leon

Eindhoven University of Technology

- Conversely to mainstream communications, in C-V2X mode-3 data traffic from/to vehicles do not traverse the eNodeB.
 - eNodeBs only intervene in the resource allocation process.



Luis F. Abanto-Leon

Eindhoven University of Technology

- Conversely to mainstream communications, in C-V2X mode-3 data traffic from/to vehicles do not traverse the eNodeB.
 - eNodeBs only intervene in the resource allocation process.
 - Then vehicles communicate directly with their counterparts via sidelink



Luis F. Abanto-Leon

Eindhoven University of Technology

- Conversely to mainstream communications, in C-V2X mode-3 data traffic from/to vehicles do not traverse the eNodeB.
 - eNodeBs only intervene in the resource allocation process.
 - Then vehicles communicate directly with their counterparts via sidelink
- In safety applications, vehicles would typically exchange cooperative awareness messages (CAMs): position, velocity, direction, etc.



Luis F. Abanto-Leon

Eindhoven University of Technology



• As these messages transport important information, it is crucial that they are received **reliably**.



Luis F. Abanto-Leon

Eindhoven University of Technology

- As these messages transport important information, it is crucial that they are received **reliably**.
- Due to the one-to-all broadcast nature, the allocation of resources (or subchannels) slightly differs from mainstream communications.



Luis F. Abanto-Leon

Eindhoven University of Technology

- As these messages transport important information, it is crucial that they are received **reliably**.
- Due to the one-to-all broadcast nature, the allocation of resources (or subchannels) slightly differs from mainstream communications.
- **Example:** If two vehicles transmit concurrently they will not receive the CAM message of the other.
- Four types of conflicts/requirements have been identified.



Luis F. Abanto-Leon

Eindhoven University of Technology

Problem Identification: Condition Type I

8/29

TU/e

Sidelink Resource Assignment – Cluster 3 idelink Resource Assignment – Cluster 1 Sidelink Resource Assignment - Cluster 2 V.

Condition Type I: Differentiated QoS Requirements per Vehicle





Eindhoven University of Technology

Problem Identification: Condition Type II

9/29

TU/e

idelink Resource Assignment – Cluster 1 Sidelink Resource Assignment - Cluster 2 Sidelink Resource Assignment – Cluster 3

Condition Type II: Intra-cluster Subframe Allocation Conflicts



Luis F. Abanto-Leon

Eindhoven University of Technology

Problem Identification: Condition Type III

10/29



Condition Type III: Minimal Time Dispersion of Subchannels

Figure 4: Different types of allocation conflicts

Luis F. Abanto-Leon

Eindhoven University of Technology

TU/e

Problem Identification: Condition Type IV

11/29

Condition Type IV: One-hop Inter-cluster Subchannel Conflicts



Figure 5: Different types of allocation conflicts

Luis F. Abanto-Leon

Eindhoven University of Technology

TU/e

Sidelink Channelization



- T: duration of a subframe
- K: number of subchannels per subframe
- L: total number of subframes for allocation
- B: subchannel bandwidth



12/29

Luis F. Abanto-Leon

Eindhoven University of Technology

Motivation: Toy Example



13/29

Motivation: Toy Example

14/29



Luis F. Abanto-Leon

Eindhoven University of Technology

TU/e

Motivation: Toy Example

Condition Type I: Differentiated QoS Requirements per Vehicle



Motivation: Toy Example

Condition Type II: Intra-cluster Subframe Allocation Conflicts



Motivation: Toy Example

Condition Type III: Minimal Time Dispersion of Subchannels



Motivation: Toy Example

Condition Type IV: One-hop Inter-cluster Subchannel Conflicts



Problem Formulation

19/29

 $\max \, \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}$

subject to

 $\mathbf{q}_{N\times 1} - \epsilon \leq (\mathbf{I}_{N\times N} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{1\times KL})(\mathbf{c}_{NKL\times 1} \circ \mathbf{x}_{NKL\times 1}) \leq \mathbf{q}_{N\times 1} + \epsilon$ $[(\mathbf{G}_{P\times N}^+ \otimes \mathbf{I}_{L\times L})(\mathbf{I}_{NL\times NL} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{1\times K})\mathbf{x}] \circ [(\mathbf{G}_{P\times N}^- \otimes \mathbf{I}_{L\times L})(\mathbf{I}_{NL\times NL} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{1\times K})\mathbf{x}] = \mathbf{0}_{PL\times 1}$

 $[(\mathbf{I}_{N\times N}\otimes \mathbf{Q}_{L\times L}^+)(\mathbf{I}_{NL\times NL}\otimes \mathbf{1}_{1\times K})\mathbf{x}]\circ [(\mathbf{I}_{N\times N}\otimes \mathbf{Q}_{L\times L}^-)(\mathbf{I}_{NL\times NL}\otimes \mathbf{1}_{1\times K})\mathbf{x}] = \mathbf{0}_{NL\times 1}$

 $[(\mathbf{H}_{U\times N}^+\otimes \mathbf{I}_{KL\times KL})\mathbf{x}]\circ [(\mathbf{H}_{U\times N}^-\otimes \mathbf{I}_{KL\times KL})\mathbf{x}] = \mathbf{0}_{U\times 1}.$

TU/e NP २००२ इ. ४ इ. ४ इ. ४ व. ४

Luis F. Abanto-Leon

Eindhoven University of Technology

Relaxed Formulation

max $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}$ subject to $\mathbf{q}_{N\times 1} - \epsilon < (\mathbf{I}_{N\times N} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{1\times KL})(\mathbf{c}_{NKL\times 1} \circ \mathbf{x}_{NKL\times 1}) < \mathbf{q}_{N\times 1} + \epsilon$ $\mathbf{x}^{T}(\mathbf{I}_{NL\times NL}\otimes \mathbf{1}_{K\times 1})\{\widetilde{\mathbf{G}}_{N\times N}\otimes \mathbf{I}_{L\times L}\}(\mathbf{I}_{NL\times NL}\otimes \mathbf{1}_{1\times K})\mathbf{x}=0$ $\mathbf{x}^{T}(\mathbf{I}_{NL\times NL}\otimes \mathbf{1}_{K\times 1})\{\mathbf{I}_{N\times N}\otimes \widetilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{L\times L}\}(\mathbf{I}_{NL\times NL}\otimes \mathbf{1}_{1\times K})\mathbf{x}=0$ $\mathbf{x}^T \{ \mathbf{H}_{N \times N} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{KL \times KL} \} \mathbf{x} = 0.$

Luis F. Abanto-Leon

Eindhoven University of Technology

TU/e

Simulation Scenario

Consider the following setting:

There is a total of N = 40 vehicles divided into 4 clusters: $|\mathcal{V}^{(1)}|= 16$ $|\mathcal{V}^{(2)}|= 16$ $|\mathcal{V}^{(3)}|= 16$ $|\mathcal{V}^{(4)}|= 8$ such that:

$$|\mathcal{V}^{(1)} \cap \mathcal{V}^{(2)} \cap \mathcal{V}^{(3)}| = 8$$

- $\blacksquare |\mathcal{V}^{(1)} \cap \mathcal{V}^{(4)}| = \emptyset$
- $|\mathcal{V}^{(2)} \cap \mathcal{V}^{(4)}| = \emptyset$
- $\bullet |\mathcal{V}^{(3)} \cap \mathcal{V}^{(4)}| = \emptyset$
- QoS requirements: 12 Mbps, 10 Mbps, 5 Mbps or 3 Mbps.
- There are 10 vehicles for each kind of QoS.

Luis F. Abanto-Leon

Eindhoven University of Technology

TU/e

21/29

TU/e

Scenario : Required QoS = 12 Mbps

The number of subframes is L = 16. The number of subchannels per subframe is K = 4. $\epsilon = 0.8$ Mbps and therefore the range of rates are [11.2 - 12.8] Mbps, [9.2 - 10.8] Mbps, [4.2 - 5.8] Mbps and [2.2 - 3.8] Mbps.



TU/e

Scenario: Required QoS = 10 Mbps

The number of subframes is L = 16. The number of subchannels per subframe is K = 4. $\epsilon = 0.8$ Mbps and therefore the range of rates are [11.2 - 12.8] Mbps, [9.2 - 10.8] Mbps, [4.2 - 5.8] Mbps and [2.2 - 3.8] Mbps.



TU/e

Scenario: Required QoS = 5 Mbps

The number of subframes is L = 16. The number of subchannels per subframe is K = 4. $\epsilon = 0.8$ Mbps and therefore the range of rates are [11.2 - 12.8] Mbps, [9.2 - 10.8] Mbps, [4.2 - 5.8] Mbps and [2.2 - 3.8] Mbps.



TU/e

Scenario: Required QoS = 3 Mbps

The number of subframes is L = 16. The number of subchannels per subframe is K = 4. $\epsilon = 0.8$ Mbps and therefore the range of rates are [11.2 - 12.8] Mbps, [9.2 - 10.8] Mbps, [4.2 - 5.8] Mbps and [2.2 - 3.8] Mbps.



Conclusions



- In this work we have presented a subchannel allocation framework for C-V2X mode-3.
- Four types of conditions have been identified and incorporated in order to guarantee a conflict-free allocation that complies with QoS requirements per vehicle.
- In addition, a relaxed formulation (RF) of the original problem that does not impinge on optimality was proposed
- The QoS requirements can be very tightly met—with the exact formulation and the relaxed version—but the random approach introduces noticeable deviation.

Eindhoven University of Technology

TU/e

Questions



Email: I.f.abanto@tue.nl



Eindhoven University of Technology

TU/e

Subchannel Structure

Contro

T



Assuming a 10 MHz ITS (Intelligent Transportation Systems) channel, up to 7 subchannels per subframe can be obtained. Thus,

- B: 1.26 MHz
- *T*: 1 ms (2 slots of 0.5 ms each)
- Control: 2 RBs⁶ per slot \leftarrow 24 subcarriers
- Data: 5 RBs per slot \leftarrow 60 subcarriers

Subchannel

A subchannel of 7 RBs is capable of transporting a basic CAM message with a payload of 200 bytes.

⁶RB: A resource block consits of 12 subcarriers

Luis F. Abanto-Leon

m

Eindhoven University of Technology

r U/e

Properties

Property 1 (Product of two tensor products) Let $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times s}$, $\mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$, and $\mathbf{Z} \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times t}$, then

 $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}\otimes\mathbf{W}\mathbf{Z}=(\mathbf{X}\otimes\mathbf{W})(\mathbf{Y}\otimes\mathbf{Z})\in\mathbb{R}^{mr\times pt}$

Property 2 (Pseudo-inverse of a tensor product) Let $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times s}$, then

$$(\mathbf{X}\otimes\mathbf{Y})^{\dagger}=\mathbf{X}^{\dagger}\otimes\mathbf{Y}^{\dagger}\in\mathbb{R}^{ns imes mn}$$

TU/e NP ののの ま くまとくまとく思わくロ

Luis F. Abanto-Leon

Eindhoven University of Technology