Poster: Hierarchical Subchannel Allocation for Mode-3 V2V Sidelink Communications

Technische Universiteit **Eindhoven** University of Technology

Luis F. Abanto-Leon¹, Arie Koppelaar², Sonia Heemstra de Groot¹

¹Eindhoven University of Technology, Department of Electrical Engineering, ²NXP Semiconductors, Eindhoven

Background

- ► 3GGP recently introduced V2V mode-3.
- ► V2V mode-3 is mainly aimed at supporting broadcast communications between vehicles.
- ► It consists of two stages:
 - ▷ The eNodeB assigns subchannels to vehicles.
- ▷ Vehicles engage in direct communications.

Important: Vehicles in the same cluster must be alloted orthogonal subchannels in time domain

Objective

- Propose an approach that:
 - (1) maximizes the system sum-capacity
 - (2) guarantees a conflict-free subchannel allocation for V2V mode-3.

System Model

S	Subchannels Assignment – Cluster 2												
		V_6											

5	Subchannels Assignment – Cluster 1										
	V_3										
		V ₆	V_1								

Proposed Approach

- A novel sequential subchannel allocation scheme is proposed.
- Clusters are hierarchically sorted based on their cardinality.
- Subchannel allocation is performed per each cluster.
- ► The assignment of vehicles with subchannels is based on a bipartite graph matching.

Example: Resource Allocation Conflict





Figure 1: Broadcast communications via sidelink V2V mode-3



L (ms)

Figure 2: Channelization of sidelink resource blocks (RBs)

B: subchannel bandwidth. L: number of subframes. K: number of subchannels per subframe.

Figure 3: Resource allocation example

Simulation Results



Figure 4: Data rate per vehicle



Conclusions

- Subchannel allocation conflicts were prevented from occurring
- ► However, there is a degree of suboptimality incurred due to successive allocation.
- ▶ In exchange, the complexity of the process was reduced if compared to

Subchannel Allocation Problem Representation

Solve for each cluster $\mathcal{V}^{(j)}$



► Equivalent Problem





 $\mathbf{x} \longrightarrow \mathbf{I}_{M \times M} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{1 \times K} \rightarrow \mathbf{y}$ $\mathbf{c} \rightarrow \mathbf{diag}(\cdot) \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{I}_{M \times M} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{1 \times K} \rightarrow \mathbf{d}$



References

[1] "3GPP TS 36.213; Technical Specification Group Radio Access Network; Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access (E-UTRA); Physical layer procedures; (Release 14) v14.2.0," March 2017. [2] "3GPP TR 36.885; Technical Specification Group Radio Access Network; Study on LTE-based V2X Services; (Release 14) v14.0.0," June 2016. [3] L. F. Abanto-Leon, A. Koppelaar, and S. M. Heemstra de Groot, "Graphbased resource allocation with conflict avoidance for V2V broadcast communications", In Proc. of IEEE PIMRC 2017, Montreal, October 2017. [4] J. Munkres, "Algorithms for the Assignment and Transportation Problems", Journal of the Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 5, No. 1, pp. 32-38, 1957.